

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,897	03/05/2002		Fred Scheuble	965-001	6511
7590 12/09/2003			EXAMINER		
Ward & Olivo	)		RAMIREZ, RAMON O		
Suite 300 382 Springfield Avenue Summit, NJ 07901				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632		
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 >	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/090,897	SCHEUBLE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the mailing date of this period to the mailing date of the second	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI se on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied to a point of the drawing correction filed on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>IDS attached</u>						
	B	RAMON O. RAMIREZ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3632				

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it only addresses the general use of the instant invention versus Whitcomb, no remarks were addressed against the rejection; the closest thing was the reusable advantage of the instant invention but Whitcomb is also "reusable" since it can be removed and use more than one time.